NAWT Waste Treatment Symposium

Altoona Water Authority Biosolids and Hauled Waste Program

OBJECTIVES TODAY

- Learn About the AWA Treatment
 Facility and Biosolids Operations
- Understand a Little Bit About Local Limits
- Ask Questions

Scale In and Scale Out







Tunde transmit fulfille Tunde trans	ALLERINA NAVER ALTERNICT OF Workship Tradition Functional Bearing State New York Thank Baarin Alternic Manufactor Dataset of Tradition Sciences Sciences	A Tanana Tana Panana Tahan
Service Andread Service Andread Service Andread <	Restario Guaranza (Santario Galitia	Support Staylou Partie
Imme hage in in and Frace Alors Imme hage in in and Frace Alors Imme hage in in and in and in and in a second alors Imme hage in in and in and in a second alors Imme hage in and in	Toronto Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante Concernante	And Charling and and the Owners Street Street
Page pin pin pin	man happ in load high Mar at	Bandy States and
Name International Control Control Accillation Control Accillation Control Cont	Ingen Vergen pit = 0 r =	and the second sec
Basers Same Second Same Creater Same Second Same Baser Same Second Same Trad Same Second Same Same Same Second Same Trad Same Second Same Same Sa	The second se	3-Dammat Web
Conjust Team Dense Ages Team Ages Team Ages Team Ages Team Ages Team Ages Age Ages <tr< td=""><td>WARTER AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRES</td><td>Transmitte Gilberth</td></tr<>	WARTER AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRES	Transmitte Gilberth
Interesting Interesting Trad frame Interesting Trad frame Interesting Interesting Interesting	Corpora Taxon	
Text frame james Text frame<	Boleschase.	Colores Targhes Rubbl
Intel Mais and Name Jamane Nambel New Yorkshille Jamane Nambel Intel Name Jamane Intel Name Jamane	Twitt Practice and	high of present one process these titles instances, PTPR, increased instances
Star Texes % EXENCES OF RATIONALES Consist New Consist	Track Male and Marco Advance Taxabet	International Content
EXERCISE OF HARDING Interviewee 1 Constant Name Interviewee Training Address Interviewee <	MU Trends To.	Teach States
1. Constant Name The state of Name The st	PERMITE OF MATHEMATIC	Linear Barrer
Televis Allere Televis	L Constitute Name	
Product Matter Tool Destroyer (not colline title frequenciation) Product Massim Product Massim Teol Destroyer Teol Destroyer </td <td>Telesco.448ee</td> <td>Travelle editions and the destruction of the second sec</td>	Telesco.448ee	Travelle editions and the destruction of the second sec
Tea d'Antrepre l'un auffair d'an travelle 1979 années lander de Versenhaust	Fishers & Market Harter	International Contraction of Contrac
Interference Tex Name of Engl (Interference Set Name of Engl (Interference Set Name (Interference Set Name (Interference Set Name	Total Registered that and this other Department with annual second	Assert the Decision of the second sec
Terrent Spream Calcol Statement Stream View Calcol Statement Stream View Calcol Stream Vi	the Versite hand fan Nethole Reput	whole white a structure.
Detert Spream Spre	(train) (take pt	
NAMES OF TAXABLE AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE ADDRESS OF		and the generater figure of a source for
		NAMES OF CONTRACTORS AND ADDRESS.
The lowery the contract below for collinear's save	This range the contract before the optimized states	

A Plains, and its for family from Orangeous, su Table (Social)	na Tamani (Ta Maran Kana Maran Kana Maran Kana Maran Kana Maran Kana
Speak Street Street Street	And the second s
new York, 10 (32), An Symmetry, 20(32) an New York, 20(32), An	there was not a stall of an moving plant of the

BRANK SATURA DEPARTMENTON

Company Name	And a second
Marris Team Status	2 Martin Contraction
Seattheater 2	Contract, Solid, and
Franklash and Muss (ALL ALL TRACK NAME AND ADDRESS.
differently 1	divid

REPORT OF BUILDINGS

Parmanenters - David Land Arris - Sola - Sec. - Sec

Albert Change Control and the Control Andrew Sector and Andrew Sector and Andrew Sector Andrew Secto

And the second sec

the frank the lighthouse in the pertinent interest









Circular Aerobic Digester



Two Circular Aerobic Digester's at the Westerly WWTF with a Capacity of 750,000 gallons per side. Each side can be independent or in series. Sludge can be fed to the thickener or the centrifuge. Capacity at the Easterly WWTF is 625,000 gallons per side.



Thickener

• Used to thicken RAS, WAS or digester sludge to 3% to 4% TSS. Returned to the Digester





Centrifuge

• Used to thicken 3% - 4% RAS to a 20% - 25% cake solid.







Vector Attraction Reduction

• What is Vector Attraction?

Defined as the characteristic of sewage sludge that attracts rodents, flies, mosquitoes or other organisms capable of transporting infectious agents.

VAR is the attempt to remove the attracting sludge characteristics.

- AWA Vector Attraction Reduction Methods
 - Primarily use the Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate
 Chapter 271.933 b(4)
 - Specific oxygen uptake rate (SOUR)—The mass of oxygen consumed per unit time per unit mass of total solids (dry weight basis) in the sewage sludge.
 - The SOUR for sewage sludge treated in an aerobic process shall be equal to or less than 1.5 milligrams of oxygen per hour per gram of total solids (dry weight basis) at a temperature of 68°F (or 20°C).

SOUR Test Calculations





SOUR Test Calculations





Final Results

Statistical test is adequate

Statistical analysis

RESET

NOTE: A statistical analysis will not be conducted until "Test 1" and "Test 2" are completed. If statistical analysis indicates further tests are required, conduct another SOUR test using "Test 3" Continue conducting SOUR tests until the Statistical analysis box indicates data is adequate. If all 8 tests have been completed, and the statistical analysis box still indicates data is inadequate, use the answer in the Final Results box as the final answer.

- AWA Vector Attraction Reduction Methods
 - Second Option use the Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate.
 Chapter 271.933 b(3). Aka "Aquarium Test"
 - When the 38% volatile solids reduction requirement in paragraph (1) cannot be met for an aerobically digested sewage sludge, vector attraction reduction can be demonstrated by digesting a portion of the previously digested sewage sludge that has a percent solids of 2% or less aerobically in the laboratory in a bench-scale unit for 30 additional days at 68°F (or 20°C). When at the end of the 30 days, the volatile solids in the sewage sludge at the beginning of that period is reduced by less than 15%, vector attraction reduction is achieved.



		West	Piker St -	Trank?	Digeolor.
Sec.	Aug. 8. 1		And the	- 176 P. (2)	10.00

the first second

		_				_
lives .	Acres .		1000	Contract of	the second	-
40103.03	1.000	- No. 1	- 100.27	1 C 1 C 1 C 1	4.7 -	1000 1000
						4.7
MT1114	and the second	1.04	100.0			1000
100000.0	1 1 1 Car					
		A 12 1				10.00
AUT 1						
4021214			2.00.00			
10112-011	and the second sec	1. The PA	1.0.0	5 and 1	1240.0	
	1.44		100			100
100410	a direct of the second s	T. 10	1000			4.5
	- and the second	10.000	in all the second			1.40
10000-0-0	1200	E. 7.	- 827-84	-		10000
AND 11 10 10 10	1000	4. 5.	-10 m			30-st
	AC 1 1	0 0		-		
man Print.			RE			8.18
						1.00
Arren 14	the second second	AN				10.01
2010			90			100
- 2-CB (1-4	ALCONO.	- K. L.	12.5			101-1
1.000	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	10.00		_		1.000
1000	and a	- E 3 - 1	interest of the			
- Bartine Intelligence	100-000					100
10.000 m	1000	1		4-20-01		1.12
and the second second				-		
	119.90	1.4				
5/080-5/	- Jacob -	12.14	10.2			-
And a second sec	4,7.4.4	10 m	- (barri +).			1.100.00
1000	A	100.00	and the second			
500 (ar 10)	1000	10.00	1.1.1			100
personal the			and the second	1 Same		- 100

there are a state of the state

West Flant - South Digestor-

÷.

Lovel Chain & Time

- 14

		1.000		the Longer	TR. Yorks	-
3.4.8.10	1 - 1 - 1 - 4	A	- 10 A	1.4.2	Condition (1997)	84
Arrest to a	this and	1 1 m 1				4.00
1.1100-101	1 - 10 - 1 - 1					6.4
320-00		1	100.0	-		2746
A.D.+ 1481	 108/201 					3.2
324.77	1.1.2.7.1	1.16.6.3				
100.00		3 4 - 2	1000		1	· ·
10.000	1.000	1. 2. 7.1				1.84
and the second second	CALLS	1 . A. C		1.000-0-0	and shows the	-
and the second s	 PROMO 	1 2 4 1	1 B 1 1			10.000
200-0	10.000		1.1			10.00
1000	- A. M.	1.1.1.1.1	A			- STATES
12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	and the first second	1 IV T				
	- 18 KTM	1 1 1				
	(Add #1)	- Jac # - 1				1.00
30 mm	A				-	104
1000						- 10 C
2010	41.0		111 I C			1.00
2000	1.14.63		الك لك		_	- 20-
	1.000					1108
Sector State		1. 1. 1. 1	A			1.4
10000	- 10 Parts		100.2		-	1. Sale.
-	48.23	2. A. K	100.00			
and the second second	4 10 17	5 - C - C - C	100.0			- B. C.
PC INTELL		5 T	-			
ACCESS.	the state	C. Andrews St.	10.16			-
	Staffin					1.1
and the second second	and the second					
		6 - A - A				
100 CO.	100	A				100.00

STATISTICS. Same Franker

Service counter - 12, of proceedings that they be preserved to the

- AWA Vector Attraction Reduction Methods
 - Third Option use of soil/sludge incorporation.
 Chapter 271.933 b(10).
 - Sewage sludge applied to the land surface shall be incorporated into the soil within 6 hours after application to the land. When sewage sludge that is incorporated into the soil is Class A with respect to pathogens, the sewage sludge shall be applied within 8 hours after being discharged from the pathogen treatment process.



AWA Pathogen Reduction

- Pathogenic organisms; disease-causing organisms— These include, but are not limited to, certain bacteria, protozoa, viruses and viable helminth ova.
- AWA uses the Chapter 271.932 b(2).
- (i) Seven samples of the sewage sludge shall be collected at the time the sewage sludge is used.
- (ii) The geometric mean of the density of fecal coliform in the samples collected in subparagraph (i) shall be less than either 2 million most probable number per gram of total solids (dry weight basis) or 2 million colony forming units per gram of total solids (dry weight basis).

CO- EAST-PART	
ELC 10 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	물질 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 같다.
建设有效和10亿元的10亿的。 夏日	I JESSER FREERSALL
181314176468640	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	C THINK S THINK BOTTOM B
11000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	the state of a local
二十年 新加加 新 新 新	
·····································	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
	848562002270587523
22050430333232523	10.55年月後回時間にある55208
· 法与许予计会并并提出公共的法律法	REVER SALE LANDERS PALE
中文位任用的合教目的信息是认知为	0.551255555555562947
(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11	104 Jan Contenant
396 WESSER SELECTION	the successful at the last
59bcab5cab325bbb5	的基本的研究的研究的
a straight a while a second	NY LINE AND A COMPANY OF
> 20152-169-5556925	ながそうちゃんなちゃんかんとしてい
Nuclear System Strategy	PRESS NUMPROZED PROFILE
the second se	Charles of the Date of the State
LUCIDE TRANSPORT	CYLNESS CALLSON COURSE
10783384466655993	因为1000年10月20日本10月1日4日
U.S. S. Basel Street	China a barrier to the transfer
P YNTERSTRUCTURE	SLATTONS 34 12 10 1 4 14
中国的现在中国教教会的中国。201	如此在14月1日。 14日日午午午午日日 14日日午午午午日日
which is a second second second second	and the second s
3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
3	a start and a start a s
12	
58.1	34
	Brown and the second
	1
	and the second sec
1812 - 19 - 10 - 11 - 2	
111111111111	

Quarterly Biosolids Analysis

- Composite Samples are pulled and sent to the Penn State Ag Labs for nutrient and Pollutant analysis.
- Sample is also analyzed for % solids to help with land application rates. Percent solids is needed for Wet Tons per Acre application rates.

ų.	Constant of	en Diller head
	Andysh Report for	the of Brookle or Courters
Marco Marco Marco Marco Antonio Di Pancolo Marco Marco Marco Marco Marco Marco Marco		An South Street Managers Street Streets Streets Statistics Streets Statistics Streets Statistics Streets Statistics Streets Statistics Streets
10111	and a second second	Contraction of the second s
1 10 14	10° 10° 11	A B B IS A B B B B
	(4) (4) (4) (4)	
at	The local sector	the state of the state of the state of the
interior in the		
	Contraction of the second second	The address and the second second
And the second second		and the second se
	P. BARMAN	a include the second
A CON	14 Million	electron and the second
	14 Brooks	nine and the second
	The state of	
	11 BALKAR	and the term
	The state of the second	electronic de la companya de la company
nin in Alian Line walato National Stational	1.4 BOOKS	and the feet
na i se A	11 BARNER	and the fee termination termi
an a	TA BUILD	and the Annotation and the Annot
	The second secon	and
	The second secon	
	TO BOARD	
	TA BALANA A BALANA BALA	

PASS OR FAIL Sludge Must Be Segregated



AWA Production Westerly WWTF

2016 BIOSOLIDS GENERATED

MONTH	TOTAL DRY (METRIC TONS)
lanuary	69.11
January	68.11
February	63.91
March	126.28
April	55.11
May	88.78
June	55.49
July	62.36
August	21.00
September	43.15
October	61.86
November	111.00
December	76.58
TOTAL	833.63

AWA Production Easterly WWTF

TABLE 1

2016 BIOSOLIDS GENERATED

MONTH	BIOSOLIDS GENERATED (METRIC TONS)
January	39.06
February	55.45
March	55.17
April	41.26
May	46.04
June	36.80
July	27.99
August	38.43
September	29.74
October	38.81
November	30.45
December	34.04
TOTAL	473.22

AWA Wet Tons Produced

Total Two Plants Dry Tons

 1,307 Dry Tons
 Approximately 22% Solids

Over 6,000 Wet Tons of Biosolids that need disposed

The Biosolids Have Met the Requirements...Now What?

• Can we land apply?

Surface Application

Incorporation

Reclamation. Landfill or Mining

Must we dispose of the Biosolids by permitting the waste and paying disposal costs?
AWA Prefers Ag Land Application

- Beneficial use of nutrients for crop production
- Approximately 3.5 wet tons of the Biosolids supplies 100 lbs of Total N
- Approximately 8 wet tons of the Biosolids supplies 100 lbs of Total P
- Excellent source of nutrients as well as a soil conditioner



morent

10011-000



AWA Permits

- AWA has 8 permitted farms with 920 spreadable acres
- AWA only has 2 farms actively accepting Biosolids with 130 spreadable acres
- AWA has 2 permitted landfill application sites
- Landfill acreage varies every year based on need

Hegarty Farm





Spreadable Acres Per Field Are Determined

Isolation Distances and Acreage Loss

Distance from wells

Property Lines

Wetlands

Road and ROW

Pastures

Sinkholes

Waterways

Agronomic Loading Rates per Field

- Planned Crop
- Expected Yields
- Nutrient Requirement (Nitrogen Based)
 Biosolids Supplied
 Residual Nitrogen (legumes, previous year)
 Farm Applied (manure, synthetic)
- Sludge Analysis (Varies per WWTF or quarter)
- Acreage (minus non-spreadable)
- CPLR limits

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT

3800-FM-BPNPSM0070 1/2013 pennsylvania DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

WORKSHEET B1

BIOSOLIDS ANNUAL AGRONOMIC LOADING RATE	

Field	2A, A	cres: 5	2							Crop	Hay, grass	
Growi	ng Se	eason Y	ear <u>2016</u>							Yield	Goal <u>3 Ton/Acre</u>	
Site F	Robert	t Smith I	arm									
1.	Total (<i>Fror</i>	l crop niti n soil an	rogen requ alysis, hist	irement orical data, or Pe	enn S	tate Agror	nomy Guide)			1	150	lb/acre
2.	Nitro	gen prov	ided from	other sources eit	her a	dded to or	mineralized	in the	soil			
	a.	Nitro	gen contri	butions from pr	revio	us years'	activities					
		1.	N from p (Penn Si	revious legume of ate Agronomy G	crop uide)						0	lb/acre
		2.	Estimate (Suppler	of mineralized o nental Workshee	rgani <i>t Part</i> revio	c N from p t 2.a.2. fro	previous bios m previous 2 ptial septage	olids a <i>years</i> applica	pplications applications) ations		47 39	lb/acre
		3.	Estimate (Suppler	of available resident of available resident of available resident and the second states of th	dual I t Par	N from his t 2.a.3.)	storical man	ure app	plications		0	lb/acre
		Sum o	of (a.1. + a	.2. + a.3.)		,				2a	47.39	lb/acre
	b.	Nitro	aen contri	butions from cu	ırren	t vear's a	ctivities					
		1.	Estimate (Suppler	of available N frontental Workshee	om c t Par	urrent ma t 2.b.1.)	nure applica	tion			0	lb/acre
		2.	N from c	hemical fertilizers	5						0	lb/acre
		3.	N from o	ther sources (ex.	food	processir	ng waste)				0	lb/acre
		Sum o	of (b.1. + b	.2. + b.3.)						2b	0	lb/acre
		Total	available r	itrogen from othe	er sou	urces (2a ·	+ 2b)			2	47.39	lb/acre
3.	Adius	sted cron	nitrogen r	equirement (Sub	otract	2 from 1)			3	102.61	lb/acre
	Tatal			facan bisselide (b			, 					
4.	a.	NH₄-N	e nitrogen I	ironi biosolius (b	aseu	UT DIOSOI	ius analysis)					
		0.004		%NH4	x	2,000 ll	o/ton		= <u>8</u>	Ib/to	on NH₄-N	
		8		NH ₄ Ib/ton	x	0.5	K _{vol} (Vol. R	ate Table)	= 4		lb/ton Available NH₄
	b.	Org-N <u>0.034</u>	3	%Org-N	x	2,000 ll	o/ton		= <u>68.6</u>	Ib/to	on Org-N	
		<u>68.6</u>		Org-N lb/ton	x	<u>0.3</u>	K _{min}	(Min. F	Rate Table)	= <u>20</u>).58	lb/ton Available Org-N
	Total	l plant av	ailable nitr	ogen (PAN) from	ı bios	olids (a +	b)			4	24.58	lb/ton Plant Available N
5.	Calc	ulate the	agronomic	loading rate for	biosc	olids applic	ation (Divid	e 3 by	4)	5	4.17	dry tons/acre
6.	Calc	ulate am	ount of bio	solids to be appli	ed					6	13 95	
•	ouio				ou.					•	wet tons/acre or	gallons/acre
	<u>4.17</u>			dry tons/acre	÷	<u>0.2989</u> (decimal)	% s	olids	=	13.95	wet tons/acre
				wet tons/acre	х	2,	000 lb/ton	÷	8.5 lbs/gallon	=		gallons/acre
7.	P₂O₅ (Nutr	and K ₂ C) fertilizer e agement i	equivalent in bios	olids e <i>farn</i>	(based or ner)	i biosolids ar	alysis)	1			
	a.	0.0205		% P in biosolid	s	x 2.	29 =		0.0469		$\%~P_2O_5$ in biosolids	
		0.0469		% P ₂ O ₅		x 2,	000 lb/ton =		93.8		lb/ton P ₂ O ₅	
	b.	0.003		% K in biosolid	s	x 1.	2 =		0.0036		$\%~\text{K}_2\text{O}$ in biosolids	
		0.0036		% K ₂ O		x 2,	000 lb/ton =		7.2		lb/ton K ₂ O	

Agronomic Loading Rates Bob Smith

F	Field		Des Ci Yi	sired rop eld	Crop N Need	Applied P2O5	Applied K ₂ O		Calculated App. Rate Biosolids or Septage	Actual Rate Applied Biosolids	Actual Se Biose Applicatio	eptage or blids on Rates		Total Field ² Septage or Biosolids	2	Total	Planting Date
ID	Acres	Planned Crop	bu/A	T/A	lb/A	lb/A	lb/A	Application Method ¹	DT/A or Gal/A	DT/A	WT/A	Gal/A	DT	WT	Gal	Acres Spread	(e.g., early May)
2-A	5.2	Hay		3	150	603.13	46.3	s	6.21 DT/A	6.43 DT/A	21.54		33.48	112		5.2	Spring 2015
2-B	0.73	Hay		3	150	614.39	47.16	S	6.31 DT/A	6.55 DT/A	21.92		4.78	16		0.73	Spring 2015
2-C	3.09	Hay		3	150	580.62	44.57	S	6.06 DT/A	6.19 DT/A	20.71		19.13	64		3.09	Spring 2015
2-D	2.62	Hay		3	150	342.37	26.28	S	6.07 DT/A	3.65 DT/A	12.21		9.56	32		2.62	Spring 2015
2-E	2.01	Corn	150		150	446.49	34.27	S	4.93 DT/A	4.76 DT/A	15.92		9.56	32		2.01	Spring 2015
2-F	2.08	Corn	150		150	431.48	33.12	S	4.93 DT/A	4.6 DT/A	15.39		9.56	32		2.08	Spring 2015
2-G	1.68	Hay		3	150	667.86	51.26	S	6.58 DT/A	7.12 DT/A	23.81		11.96	40		1.68	Spring 2015
2-H	2.21	Hay		3	150	406.15	31.18	S	6.04 DT/A	4.33 DT/A	14.48		9.56	32		2.21	Spring 2015
Totals	19.62	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0 DT/A	18.35		98.03	360		19.62	N/A

Cumulative Pollutant Loading Smith

F	Field		De: C Yi	sired rop eld	Crop N Need	Applied	Applied K ₂ O		Calculated App. Rate Biosolids or Septace	Actual Rate Applied Biosolids	Actual Se Bioso Applicatio	ptage or blids on Rates		Total Field Septage of Biosolids	2	Total	Planting Date
ID	Acres	Planned Crop	bu/A	T/A	lb/A	lb/A	lb/A	Application Method ¹	DT/A or Gal/A	DT/A	WT/A	Gal/A	DT	WТ	Gal	Acres Spread	(e.g., early May)
2-A	5.2	Hay		3	150	603.13	46.3	s	6.21 DT/A	6.43 DT/A	21.54		33.48	112		5.2	Spring 2015
2-B	0.73	Hay		3	150	614.39	47.16	s	6.31 DT/A	6.55 DT/A	21.92		4.78	16		0.73	Spring 2015
2-C	3.09	Hay		3	150	580.62	44.57	S	6.06 DT/A	6.19 DT/A	20.71		19.13	64		3.09	Spring 2015
2-D	2.62	Hay		3	150	342.37	26.28	s	6.07 DT/A	3.65 DT/A	12.21		9.56	32		2.62	Spring 2015
2-E	2.01	Corn	150		150	446.49	34.27	S	4.93 DT/A	4.76 DT/A	15.92		9.56	32		2.01	Spring 2015
2-F	2.08	Corn	150		150	431.48	33.12	s	4.93 DT/A	4.6 DT/A	15.39		9.56	32		2.08	Spring 2015
2-G	1.68	Нау		3	150	667.86	51.26	s	6.58 DT/A	7.12 DT/A	23.81		11.96	40		1.68	Spring 2015
2-H	2.21	Нау		3	150	406.15	31.18	s	6.04 DT/A	4.33 DT/A	14.48		9.56	32		2.21	Spring 2015
Totals	19.62	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0 DT/A	18.35		98.03	360		19.62	N/A

Preparation For Spreading

- Staking out individual fields and restricted zones
- Restricting Access to Fields (+30 days)



Preparation For Spreading

- pH testing of each field to be applied
- pH >6.0 **DO THIS**



Preparation For Spreading

- pH testing of each field to be applied
- pH >6.0 DO NOT DO THIS





Calculation of Spreader Rates Per Field

- Spreader Pattern? AWA 6 foot width
- Linear Footage Path per Field
- Wet Tons per Acre
- Field Acreage
- Tons per Spreader
- These go into the calculation of the Tractor Speeds and the Ram Speeds of the Spreader

Calculation of Spreader Rates Per Field

Lin Ft/[(WT/Ac *Ac)/T/Load]= Ft per Load Ft per Load/Tractspd in Ft per min = Ram Spd

Field #	Linear Feet	Wet Tons/A	Field Acre	Field Tons	Tons/Load	#Load/Field	Whole loads	Tons to Farm	L Feet/ Load	2 mph RAM	3mph RAM	4 mph RAM	5 mph RAM	6 mph RAM	7 mph RAM	8 mph RAM
24	37,725	20.77	5.2	108.00	8	15.429	15	105	2.515.0	14.29	9.53	7.14	5.72	4.76	4.08	3.57
											0.00					
28	5,270	21.11	0.73	15.41	8	2.201	2	14	2,635.0	14.97	9.98	7.49	5.99	4.99	4.28	3.74
2C	22,400	20.27	3.09	62.63	8	8.948	8	56	2,800.0	15.91	10.61	7.95	6.36	5.30	4.55	3.98
20	10.002	20.21	2.62	52.21	0	7 602	7	40	2 714 6	15.42	10.29	7 71	6 17	F 14	4.41	2.96
20	19,002	20.51	2.02	55.21	°	7.602	/	49	2,714.0	15.42	10.28	7.71	0.17	5.14	4.41	3.80
2E	14,571	16.49	2.01	33.14	8	4.735	4	28	3,642.8	20.70	13.80	10.35	8.28	6.90	5.91	5.17
25	15 136	16.10	2.00	24.20	0	4 000		20	2 704 5	24.40	44.33	40.74	0.50	746	<i></i>	5.37
21	15,126	16.49	2.08	34.30	8	4.900	4	28	3,781.5	21.49	14.32	10.74	8.59	7.16	6.14	5.37
2G	12,169	21.99	1.68	36.94	8	5.278	5	35	2,433.8	13.83	9.22	6.91	5.53	4.61	3.95	3.46
ZH	16,070	20.21	2.21	44.66	8	6.381	6	42	2,678.3	15.22	10.15	7.61	6.09	5.07	4.35	3.80

Totals 19.62 388.31

357.0

What is Ram Speed? Time to Empty Spreader













Linear Footage Calculations

- Old Way. Take Acreage divided by the average lengths and widths of the fields
- Divide Averages by Spreader Widths (6 ft)
- Hope field is not irregular in shape (good luck)
- New Way. Use GIS mapping and the following process.











ROBERT SMITH FARM							
Field	Approximate linear length						
2A (WEST)	16,778.00						
2A (EAST)	16,519.00						
2B	5,562.00						
20	20,511.00						
2D	13,172.00						
2E	16,336.00						
2F	18,196.00						
2G	12,070.00						
2H	16,306.00						
TOTAL	135,450.00						

End Result

Land Application Site	Biosolids Hauled to Application Site (Dry Metric Tons)
Daniel Hegarty	158.15
Robert Smith	89.12
TOTAL	247.27

	Biosolids Hauled to Application Site (Dry Metric Tons)
Land Application Site	
Bernard Smith Farm	192
Laurel Highlands Landfill	167.6
Evergreen Landfill	80.7
Total	440.3



Landfill Reclamation Option

- Incorporation Option if Biosolids do not meet VAR requirements
- Used to promote cover vegetation growth
- Much higher loading rates per acre
- No spreader calculations with linear footage or with tractor or RAM speeds.
- Material is spread via bulldozer
- Allowed 60 DT/Acre Approx 250 WT/Acre





Landfill Reclamation Value Large Quantities of Sludge in Small Areas and Fast Disposal

	Biosolids Hauled to Application Site (Dry Metric Tons)
Land Application Site	
Bernard Smith Farm	192
Laurel Highlands Landfill	167.6
Evergreen Landfill	80.7
Total	440.3


AWA Last Option Landfill Disposal

- Requires Additional Analysis (Form 43)
- Waste Must be Manifested
- Limited Disposal Amounts Per Day
- •\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

Major Drawbacks to Land Application

- Public Perception
- Inability to get onto the farm fields (weather, crop production schedule, etc.)
- Unable to apply at the landfill (weather, liner placement, cover schedule)

Major Drawbacks to Land Application

Benner Township residents disapprove of biosolid application near well R SLUDG

Protesters plan blockade in Kamloops against biosolids dumping

Major Drawbacks to Land Application





Cost Benefit Analysis Assuming All Biosolids Production Costs are Similar

- Most Cost Effective Landfill Reclamation
 - Only real cost is loading and trucking
 - \$210.00 to load and truck to the landfill
 - Approximately \$14.00 per Wet Ton to dispose
 - Less regulatory issues
 - Less exposure to public criticism (odor complaints, mud tracking, etc.)

Cost Benefit Analysis Assuming All Biosolids Production Costs are Similar

- Second Most Cost Effective Land Application
 - Cost of permitting farms
 - Two loaders, trucks, spreader, staking, oversight
 - Approximately \$29.00 per Wet Ton to actually apply (Quote of \$31.00 per Wet Ton)
 - Reliant on farmer to not change mind on crops or fertilizer rates
 - Exposure to public criticism (odor complaints, mud tracking, etc.)

Cost Benefit Analysis Assuming All Biosolids Production Costs are Similar

- Least Cost Effective Permitted Landfill Disposal
 - Cost of Form 43 Analysis
 - Approximately \$14.00 per Wet Ton to load and truck
 - Approximately \$50.00 per Wet Ton to dispose
 - Limited by landfill acceptance amounts

Future of the Program

- Attempt to secure more agricultural land
 - Farmer cooperation
 - Increased distances
 - Cost to permit and still risk a shutdown
 - AWA purchase of Land
 - Control of Crops (multi-crop rotations)
 - Control of Tillable acreage (allow for non-VAR)
 - Control of Synthetic Fertilizer (over application)

Future of the Program

- Deep Trench Hybrid Poplar Recycling
 - Looking Very Positive (Authority Owns Acres)
 - Assist With Ground Reclamation
 - Potential Water Source Quality Improvement
- Class A Potential (Heat drying)
 - Upfront capital expenditures
 - Operations costs
 - Still need to dispose of material

Future of the Program

- Regional Dryer
 - Still have a disposal expense
 - Limited by digester needs
 - Residual Biosolids still need disposed

So, why am I here?

 The most common question that I receive from hauler's is, "Why can't you take my waste?".

Reason #1

- You have not gotten permitted to haul into our facility.
- Proof of Insurance/Liability Coverage
- Disposal Procedure Training
- Safety and Security Clearance
- Billing Information

Reason #2 (Main Reason)

- Incompatible Waste Stream
 - Excessive material size (entrails, bottles, etc.)
 - FOG (we are not a grease reception facility)
 - TDS (hauler requested >300,000 mg/L)
 10 times sea water strength
 - Toxic (herbicides, pesticides, etc.)

Reason #2 (Main Reason)

- Hauled in Waste Cannot meet the EPA established Local Limits for Influent Goals.
- Local Limit is defined as the specific discharge limits developed and enforced by the Authority upon industrial and commercial facilities to implement the general and specific discharge prohibitions listed in 40 CFR chapter 403.5 (a)(1) and (b)

Easterly Wastewater Plant									
Parameter	Daily Maximum								
Antimony – Total	0.125 mg/L								
Arsenic –Total	0.017 mg/L								
Benzene –Total	0.15 mg/L								
Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate	0.034 mg/L								
Cadmium – Total	0.0050 mg/L								
Chromium - Total	2.65 mg/L								
Copper –Total	0.1900 mg/L								
Cyanide – Total	0.0350 mg/L								
Ethylbenzene	0.143 mg/L								
Lead –Total	0.0490 mg/L								
Mercury –Total	0.00095 mg/L								
Molybdenum – Total	0.44 mg/L								
Nickel –Total	0.435 mg/L								
Nitrogen – Ammonia	47 mg/L								
Nitrogen – Total	100 mg/L								
PCB –Total	Non-Detectable								
Phosphorus – Total	11 mg/L								
Selenium – Total	0.040 mg/L								
Silver – Total	0.060 mg/L								
Toluene	64 mg/L								
Xylene	2.8 mg/L								
Zinc –Total	1.39 mg/L								
L									

Westerly Wastewater Plant								
Parameter	Daily Maximum							
Antimony – Total	0.117 mg/L							
Arsenic –Total	0.15 mg/L							
Benzene – Total	0.21 mg/L							
Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate	0.35 mg/L							
Cadmium – Total	0.034 mg/L							
Chromium – Total	10.1 mg/L							
Copper – Total	0.52 mg/L							
Cyanide – Total	0.62 mg/L							
Ethylbenzene	0.143 mg/L							
Lead – Total	0.38 mg/L							
Mercury – Total	0.0047 mg/L							
Molybdenum – Total	0.68 mg/L							
Nickel – Total	1.45 mg/L							
Nitrogen – Ammonia	100 mg/L							
Nitrogen – Total	88 mg/L							
PCB – Total	Non-detectable							
Phosphorus –Total	35 mg/L							
Selenium – Total	0.12 mg/L							
Silver – Total	1.09 mg/L							
Toluene	139 mg/L							
Xylene	12.4 mg/L							
Zinc –Total	1.00 mg/L							

National Primary Drinking Water Standard	s
mg/L	
0.006	
0.000	
0.005	
0.006	
0.005	
0.100	
1 300	_
0.200	_
0.200	_
0.00	_
0.003	_
0.002	_
	_
	_
	_
10.000	
0.001	
0.050	
1.000	
10.000	

Facility Name:	ALTOONA CITY AUTHORITY														
Facility ID:	PAP027022	UNITS:	MG/L												
Location:	INFLUENT		-	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
_	Pollutant	Goals	Frequency	1/31/2016	2/18/2016	3/31/2016	4/30/2016	5/3/2016	6/30/2016	7/22/2016	8/31/2016	9/30/2016	10/21/2016	11/30/2016	12/31/2016
01097	ANTIMONY- TOTAL	0.0086	4		<0.00579			<0.00579		<0.00579			<0.00579		
01002	ARSENIC- TOTAL	0.0158	4		<0.00501			<0.00501		<0.00501			<0.00501		
34030	BENZENE	0.0233	4		<0.00012			<0.00012		<0.00025			<0.00025		
39100	BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	0.0166	4		<0.0025			<0.0025		<0.003			<0.003		
00310	BOD- 5-DAY	249.25	4	94	69	109	114	83	111	142	152	150	92	126	84
01027	CADMIUM- TOTAL	0.0019	4		<0.00104			<0.00104		<0.00104			<0.00104		
01034	CHROMIUM- TOTAL	0.25	4		0.00257			<0.00104		<0.00104			<0.00104		
01042	COPPER- TOTAL	0.0685	4	<0.005	0.0145	<0.005	<0.005	0.0164	<0.005	0.0136	<0.005	<0.005	0.0149	<0.005	<0.005
00720	CYANIDE- TOTAL	0.0249	4		0.004			<0.001		0.003			0.0038		
34371	ETHYLBENZENE	5.6305	4		<0.00018			<0.00018		0.00075			<0.00037		
01051	LEAD- TOTAL	0.019	4		0.00395			<0.00338		<0.00338			<0.00338		
71900	MERCURY- TOTAL	0.0002	4		0.0000449			<0.000029		0.0000732			0.000702		
01062	MOLYBDENUM- TOTAL	0.0237	4		<0.00442			<0.00442		<0.00442			<0.00442		
01067	NICKEL- TOTAL	0.0504	4		<0.00764			<0.00764		<0.00764			<0.00764		
00610	NITROGEN- AMMONIA	26.7064	4		5.16			5.28		12.6			12.7		
00630	NITROGEN- TOTAL	35.6135	4		<12.35			<9.11		<22.65			<25.95		
04166	PCB- TOTAL	3E-07	4		<0.0000799			<0.0000835		<0.000087			<0.000087		
00665	PHOSPHORUS- TOTAL	7.1257	4		1.06			0.82		2.33			3.05		
01147	SELENIUM- TOTAL	0.0136	4		<0.00449			<0.00449		<0.00449			<0.00449		
01077	SILVER- TOTAL	0.0296	4		<0.00160			<0.005		<0.0016			<0.0016		
00530	SOLIDS- TOTAL SUSPENDED	299.085	4	81	56	98	105	101	122	158	156	154	89	118	78
34010	TOLUENE	7.0116	4		0.00298			0.00116		0.00089			<0.00026		
81551	XYLENE	0.3123	4		< 0.00035			<0.00035		0.00369			< 0.00107		
01092	ZINC- TOTAL	0.185	4		0.0484			0.0339		0.0408			0.0313		

Facility Nam	e:ALTOONA CITY AUTHORITY			_											
Facility ID:	PAP027014	UNITS:	MG/L												
Location:	INFLUENT			Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
	Pollutant	Goals	Frequency	1/31/2016	2/17/201	3/31/201	64/30/2016	5/4/2016	6/30/2016	7/21/2010	8/30/2016	9/30/201	610/19/2016	11/30/201	612/31/2016
01097	ANTIMONY- TOTAL	0.0164	4 4	1	<0.00579			0.00754		<0.00579			<0.00579		
01002	ARSENIC- TOTAL	0.009	5 4	1	<0.00501			<0.00501		<0.00501			<0.00501		
34030	BENZENE	0.0148	8 4	1	<0.00014			<0.00014		<0.00029			<0.00025		
39100	BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	0.010	6 4	1	<0.005			<0.0025		0.011			<0.003		
00310	BOD- 5-DAY	237.33	5 4	1 77	62	94	127	73	90	99	102	107	74	121	86
01027	CADMIUM- TOTAL	0.003	3 4	1	<0.00104			0.00198		<0.00104			<0.00104		
01034	CHROMIUM- TOTAL	0.2	5 4	1	0.00283			0.0195		<0.00104			0.00141		
01042	COPPER- TOTAL	0.0868	8 4	1	0.028			0.22		0.0249			0.0459		
00720	CYANIDE- TOTAL	0.010	9 4	1	0.008			0.004		0.004			0.005		
34371	ETHYLBENZENE	4.5362	2 4	1	<0.0001			<0.0001		<0.00022			<0.00037		
01051	LEAD- TOTAL	0.0198	8 4	1	0.0159			0.123		<0.00338			0.00536		
71900	MERCURY- TOTAL	0.000	3 4	1	0.0000899			0.00076		0.000106			0.000322		
01062	MOLYBDENUM- TOTAL	0.074	9 4	1	0.00733			<0.00442		0.00842			<0.00442		
01067	NICKEL- TOTAL	0.058	9 4	1	<0.00764			0.0162		<0.00764			<0.00764		
00610	NITROGEN- AMMONIA	27.731	1 4	1	5.35			11.4		12.4			15.9		_
00630	NITROGEN- TOTAL	36.9748	8 4	1	<12.66			<29.7		<43.05			<26.75		_
04166	PCB- TOTAL	2E-07	7 4	1	<0.00000742	2		<0.00000799		<0.000012	2		<0.000009		
00665	PHOSPHORUS- TOTAL	6.6020	6 4	1	1.83			5.61		4.58			3.75		
01147	SELENIUM- TOTAL	0.00	6 4	1	<0.00449			<0.00449		<0.00449			<0.00449		
01077	SILVER- TOTAL	0.008	8 4	1	<0.0016			<0.005		<0.0016			<0.0016		
00530	SOLIDS- TOTAL SUSPENDED	351.14	1 4	1 80	66	111	178	116	135	175	189	144	108	186	127
34010	TOLUENE	5.6488	8 4	1	0.0007			0.00061		0.00157			<0.00026		
81551	XYLENE	0.251	6 4	1	0.00137			<0.00022		<0.00077			<0.00107		
01092	ZINC- TOTAL	0.2573	3 4	1	0.0851			0.694		0.0765			0.0623		

_

Westerly Hauled Volumes 2016

MONTH	SEPTAGE (Gallons)	SLUDGE (Gallons)	OTHER (Gallons)	TOTAL (Gallons)
January	1,250	0	97,971	99,221
February	1,145	31343	137,629	170,117
March	81,832	7,546	155,665	245,043
April	41,520	62,749	106,837	211,106
May	55,582	38,684	121,514	215,780
June	22,616	3,309	173,207	199,132
July	11,960	6,479	87,097	105,536
August	78,082	4,004	127,469	209,555
September	32,738	22,557	137,425	192,720
October	6,362	9,970	97,962	114,294
November	34,899	22,158	116,999	174,056
December	6,604	27,726	101,797	136,127
TOTAL	374,590	236,525	1,461,572	2,072,687

Conclusion???

- Hydraulically and Organically, the AWA WWTF's can handle a lot of hauled waste
- Waste's must meet certain criteria to be disposed of at the plants
- The AWA is encouraging haulers to bring waste that is compatible

Contact Information

- Todd Musser
- Director of Wastewater Treatment Operations
- 814-949-2218 ext. 2202
- tmusser@altoonawater.com